## Safety, Feasibility of Intravenous and Intrathecal Injection of Autologous Bone Marrow Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Patients with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: An Open Label Phase I Clinical Trial

Seyed Massood Nabavi, M.D.<sup>1#</sup>, Leila Arab, M.D.<sup>2#</sup>, Neda Jarooghi, M.Sc.<sup>1</sup>, Tina Bolurieh, M.Sc.<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh

Abbasi, M.Sc.<sup>1</sup>, Soura Mardpour, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Vajihe Azimyian, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh Moeininia, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Saman

Maroufizadeh, M.Sc.<sup>3</sup>, Leila Sanjari, M.D.<sup>4</sup>, Seyedeh Esmat Hosseini, M.Sc.<sup>5</sup>,

Nasser Aghdami, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1\*</sup>

1. Department of Regenerative Medicine, Cell Science Research Center, Royan Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Technology, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

2. Department of Neuroscience, School of Advanced Technologies in Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran 3. Department of Epidemiology and Reproductive Health, Reproductive Epidemiology Research Center, Royan Institute for Reproductive Medicine, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

4. Intensive Care Unit, Mostafa Khomeini Hospital, Tehran, Iran

5. Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#The first two authors equally contributed to this work.

\*Corresponding Address: P.O.Box: 16635-148, Department of Regenerative Medicine, Cell Science Research Center, Royan Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Technology, ACECR, Tehran, Iran Email: Nasser.aghdami@royaninstitute.org

Received: 6/Jul/2017, Accepted: 28/Dec/2018

Abstract

**Objective:** Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is the most severe disorder within the spectrum of motor neuron diseases (MND) that has no effective treatment and a progressively fatal outcome. We have conducted two clinical trials to assess the safety and feasibility of intravenous (IV) and intrathecal (IT) injections of bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells (BM-MSCs) in patients with ALS.

**Materials and Methods:** This is an interventional/experimental study. We enrolled 14 patients that met the following inclusion criteria: definitive diagnosis of sporadic ALS, ALS Functional Rating Scale (ALS-FRS)  $\geq$ 24, and  $\geq$ 40% predicted forced vital capacity (FVC). All patients underwent bone marrow (BM) aspiration to obtain an adequate sample for cell isolation and culture. Patients in group 1 (n=6) received an IV and patients in group 2 (n=8) received an IT injection of the cell suspension. All patients in both groups were followed at 24 hours and 2, 4, 6, and 12 months after the injection with ALS-FRS, FVC, laboratory tests, check list of side effects and brain/spinal cord magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In each group, one patient was lost to follow up one month after cell injection and one patient from IV group died due to severe respiratory insufficiency and infection.

**Results:** During the follow up there were no reports of adverse events in terms of clinical and laboratory assessments. In MRI, there was not any new abnormal finding. The ALS-FRS score and FVC percentage significantly reduced in all patients from both groups.

**Conclusion:** This study has shown that IV and IT transplantation of BM-derived stromal cells is safe and feasible (Registration numbers: NCT01759797 and NCT01771640).

Keywords: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Bone Marrow, Intrathecal, Intravenous, Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Cell Journal(Yakhteh), Vol 20, No 4, Jan-Mar (Winter) 2019, Pages: 592-598

Citation: Nabavi SM, Arab L, Jarooghi N, Bolurieh T, Abbasi F, Mardpour S, Azimyian V, Moeininia F, Maroufizadeh S, Sanjari L, Hosseini SE, Aghdami N. Safety, feasibility of intravenous and intrathecal injection of autologous bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: an open label phase I clinical trial. Cell J. 2019; 20(4): 592-598. doi: 10.22074/cellj.2019.5370.

### Introduction

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is one of the most damaging motor neuron diseases (MNDs) that has a worldwide incidence of 2-3 per 100,000 (1). Until now, there is no effective medication to halt disease progression or provide a cure. Available treatments are limited to pharmaceuticals (riluzole) (2), physical and speech therapy (3), nutrition, and respiratory support (4, 5). In the last decade, stem cell transplantation has been considered as a promising therapeutic option for these patients (6). Recent studies demonstrated the safety and efficacy of different types of stem cell transplantations in ALS patients such as peripheral blood stem cells (PBSC) (7, 8), mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) (9-15), olfactory ensheathing cells (OEC) (16) and fetal neural stem cells (NSC) (17-19). One of the most considerable stem cells are MSCs which use several mechanisms to correct ALS impairments such as rich trophic factor secretion, immunomodulation by increased expressions of interlukin-10 (IL-10) and Transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF- $\beta$ 1) (20), gene delivery or replacing lost cells (21). Therefore, MSCs could induce neuroprotective effects on glutamate excitoxicity by inhibiting the expression of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor and controlling glutamate related Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx (22).

GABAergic transmission increases in neurons cocultured with MSCs and can induce neural repair (23). Therefore, MSCs have the potential to improve neural function in a damaged area of the central nervous system (24-26). In an animal model of ALS, it has been shown that MSC transplantation in SOD1/G93A mice restored motor neurons, prolonged life span, and improved motor function by the secretion of growth factors, immunomodulatory effects, and reductions of oxidative stress (26). In previous studies, stem cell transplantation was performed via different routes in ALS patients such as intrathecal (IT) (9, 20), intraspinal (27, 28), intravenous (IV) (7, 9), intraventricular (11), intracortical (29), and intra-arterial (30) injections. However, the preferred route of administration has yet to be determined in ALS. Therefore, we initiated this study to evaluate the safety of IV and IT injections

of MSCs in ALS patients. As a secondary objective, we compared the effects of each route of injection on prevention of disease progression.

## Materials and Methods

This is an interventional/experimental study. We conducted these two clinical trials as phase 1 open label clinical studies at Royan Institute in collaboration with the Neurology Department of Mostafa Khomeini Hospital. After study approval from the Royan Institute Ethics Research Committee (No. EC/91/1097), eligible patients signed the informed consent and enrolled in the study. These studies were registered at the NIH clinical trial site (www.clinicaltrials.gov) with identification numbers NCT01759797 and NCT01771640. Figure 1 shows the study flowchart.



Fig.1: Study flow diagram.

#### Patients

A total of 14 male and female patients with definitive diagnosis of sporadic ALS, aged 24-60 years, enrolled in the studies. All patients had definite diagnosis of ALS due to EL Escorial criteria (31) and more than 6 months of evolution of disease, an ALS Functional Rating Scale (ALS-FRS) score  $\geq$ 24, and  $\geq$ 40% predicted forced vital capacity (FVC). All patients were treated by the only approved drug for ALS, riluzole, at a dose of 100 mg, twice per day. Exclusion criteria were any concomitant neurological, psychiatric or systemic diseases or use of any corticosteroids, immunoglobulin, or immunosuppressant treatments during 6 months before enrollment. Patients' descriptive characteristics are listed in Table 1.

# Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells production

Each patient underwent bone marrow aspiration from the posterior superior iliac crest while in the right or left lateral positions under local anesthesia. The MSCs were prepared from bone marrow sample (100 ml) according to current good manufacturing practice (cGMP).

Mononuclear cells (MNCs) were isolated from the BM samples by density gradient with a Ficoll Paque open system (Lymphodex, Inno-Train, Germany). Next, the MNC layer was isolated and washed in PBS buffer (Milteny Biotech GmbH, Germany). Cell counts and viability were assessed with trypan blue staining and confirmed by a NucleoCounter<sup>®</sup> system (ChemoMetec, Denmark). MNCs  $(1 \times 10^{6}/\text{cm}^{2})$  were placed in Millicell<sup>®</sup> HY T-600 culture flasks (Merk, Germany) and cultured under standard conditions in 1X MEM alpha medium (Gibco, Germany) and fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Germany). Flasks were incubated under defined conditions of 5% CO, and

37°C. All non-adherent cells were removed by changing the culture medium after 3-4 days. This process was repeated every 3 days. After 1 to 2 passages, the 90% confluent MSCs were harvested by the application of 0.25% trypsin in 0.1% Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Cell viability was evaluated by trypan blue staining as well as the NucleoCounter<sup>®</sup> system. Next, we suspended MSCs ( $2 \times 10^6$  cells/kg) in 5 ml of 0.9% sodium chloride that contained 2% human serum albumin.

#### Flow cytometry

Cell surface marker expressions were assessed by flow cytometry. The characterization panel consisted of monoclonal antibodies for mesenchymal lineages markers CD90-FITC (BD, Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA), CD105-PE (Endoglin, BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA), CD73-PE (BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA), CD44-FITC (BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA), CD45 FITC-CD34 PE (BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA) and CD11b (BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA), along with the following isotype controls, MultiMix<sup>TM</sup> FITC Mouse IgG1, PE-Mouse IgG1 (Dako, Denmark), FITC-Mouse IgG2b (Millipore, USA), and PE-conjugated Mouse IgG1k (BD Pharmingen<sup>TM</sup>, USA). Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and immunophenotyping analysis was performed by the BD FACS Calibur flow cytometry system (BD Biosciences, USA).

#### **Cell transplantation**

Patients were scheduled to receive either the IV or IT injections of the prepared MSC suspension  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg})$  (Table 2). After cell transplantation, each patient remained under close observation for 24 hours. Then, we followed them with regular assessments at 24 hours, and 2, 4, 6, and 12 months after the cell administration.

Table 1: Patients' characteristics							
Patient	Age (Y)	Sex	ALS onset	ALS duration (months from diagnosis)	PEG (months from injection)	Tracheostomy (months from injection)	
1	50	Male	Limb	18	8	10	
2	59	Male	Bulbar	6	N/A	N/A	
3	60	Female	Limb	18	N/A	N/A	
4	35	Female	Limb	6	N/A	N/A	
5	34	Female	Limb	10	N/A	N/A	
6	57	Female	Limb	12	N/A	N/A	
7	51	Male	Bulbar	6	N/A	N/A	
8	54	Male	Bulbar	6	N/A	N/A	
9	53	Male	Bulbar	30	N/A	N/A	
10	57	Female	Limb	48	N/A	N/A	
11	31	Male	Limb	12	N/A	N/A	
12	42	Male	Limb	9	N/A	N/A	
13	39	Male	Limb	24	4	4	
14	24	Male	Limb	24	N/A	N/A	

N/A; Not applicable, ALS; Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and PEG; Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy.

Table 2: Cell information							
Patient	Route of injection	Cell count (×10 <sup>6</sup> )	Cell viability (%)	Bacteriology	Mycoplasma	Endotoxin level (EU/ml)	Karyotype
1	IV	95	100	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
2	IV	125	98	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
3	IV	75	92.80	NC	NC	<0.125	46XX
4	IV	111	94	NC	NC	<0.125	46XX
5	IV	89	93	NC	NC	<0.125	46XX
7	IT	113	99.50	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
8	IT	102	94	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
9	IT	100	98	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
10	IT	135	97	NC	NC	<0.125	46XX
11	IT	140	96	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
12	IT	100	96	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY
13	IT	120	98	NC	NC	<0.125	46XY

IV; Intravenous, IT; Intrathecal, NC; No contamination, and EU; Endotoxin unit.

#### **Drug administration**

All patients took rilozule (100 mg) twice a day. If needed, patients received medications for symptom control or nursing support.

#### **Clinical assessment**

The assessments included the comprehensive physical examination, taking history about any new symptoms, ALS-FRS (32, 33), FVC, laboratory analysis (liver, kidney, thyroid function, serology, virology, urine analysis and culture). We performed them at 6, 4, 2, and one week before cell therapy and also 2, 4, 6, and 12 months after cell transplantation.

#### Magnetic resonance imaging

Brain and spinal cord MRIs were performed one week before and 12 months after the cell transplantation. The system used for scanning was the 1.5 Tesla system, (GE System version 2000). The images were taken in the sagittal, axial, and coronal planes with T1, T2 and flair fast spin-echo sequence.

#### **Statistical analysis**

We carried out the statistical analysis with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). In the present study, continuous variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). Repeated-measures ANOVA were used to assess the effects of treatment and time (months) and treatment-by-time interactions on ALS-FRS and FVC. All statistical tests were 2-sided and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

#### Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry analysis showed that BM-MSCs highly

expressed the CD73, CD90, CD105, and CD44 markers and almost lacked expressions of CD34, CD45 (Fig.2).



Fig.2: Expressions of bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cell (BM-MSC) surface markers.

#### **Adverse events**

Of 14 patients, 1 patient from each group was lost to follow up after cell injection and we continued the study with 12 patients, 5 in IV group and 7 in IT group. 1 patient had hypotension (85/60 mmHg) immediately after the IV injection of the cell suspension. After infusion of 1000 ml 0.9% sodium chloride, the blood pressure increased to 105/80 mmHg with stable vital signs. In addition, 2 patients had headaches and nausea after the IT injection of the cell suspension that lasted for 24 hours, which we attributed to the lumbar puncture. After hydration and use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), their symptoms resolved. In addition, there was no report of any major adverse events or new abnormal findings in the brain and spinal MRI scans during 12 months after the cell transplantation (Table 3).

Adverse enterPattern (n)Time or occurrence (weeks)OutcomeNeurological adverse events00Unconsciousness00Headache21Improved after treatmentNeck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Vertigo00Allergic reactions11Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Urticaria00Infection00Local adverse events00Phlebitis00Infection00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Pneumonia00Pneumonia00Pneumonia00Phebitis00Pneumonia00Pheumonia00Pneumonia00Pneumonia00Pheumonia00Pheumonia00Pheumonia00Pheumonia00Pheumonia00Pheumonia00 <th>lable 3: Adverse</th> <th>enects ante</th> <th>r cell transplant</th> <th></th>	lable 3: Adverse	enects ante	r cell transplant	
Neurological adverse events00Dizziness00Headache21Improved after treatmentNeck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Allergic reactionsEver0Fever00Apnea00Urticaria00Infection00Local adverse events00Phlebitis00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Other adverse events00Pineumonia00Preumonia00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Adverse effect	(n)	occurrence (weeks)	Outcome
Unconsciousness00Dizziness00Headache21Improved after treatmentNeck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Apnea00Dyspnea00Local adverse events00Phebitis00Other adverse events00Diarthea00Other adverse events00Pineumonia00Other adverse events00Pineumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Neurological adverse events			
Dizziness00Headache21Improved after treatment treatmentNeck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentHypotension000Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Apnea00Dyspnea00Local adverse events00Phebitis00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Unconsciousness	0	0	
Headache21Improved after treatmentNeck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Allergic reactions0Fever00Apnea00Oyspnea00Urticaria00Fashing00Local adverse events00Phlebitis00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Ornentitis00Phuemonia00Respiratory failure00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Dizziness	0	0	
Neck stiffness00Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Allergic reactions-Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Urticaria00Flashing00Infection00Flashing00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Preumonia00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Headache	2	1	Improved after treatment
Nausea and vomiting21Improved after treatmentHypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Sphincter dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Anaphylaxis00O00Local adverse events00Phebitis00Infection00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Neck stiffness	0	0	
Hypotension11Improved after treatmentMotor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Sphincter dysfunction00Seizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Allergic reactions00Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Nausea and vomiting	2	1	Improved after treatment
Motor dysfunction00Sensory dysfunction00Sphincter dysfunction00Scizures00Vertigo00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Allergic reactions-Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events-Phlebitis00Other adverse events-Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Hypotension	1	1	Improved after treatment
Sensory dysfunction     0     0       Sphincter dysfunction     0     0       Seizures     0     0       Vertigo     0     0       Vertigo     0     0       Visual impairment     0     0       Allergic reactions     -     -       Fever     0     0       Apnea     0     0       Dyspnea     0     0       Urticaria     0     0       Erythema     0     0       Flashing     0     0       Infection     0     0       Infection     0     0       Other adverse events     -     -       Diarrhea     0     0       Bronchitis     0     0       Pheumonia     0     0       Prochitis     0     0       Arrhythmia     0     0	Motor dysfunction	0	0	
Sphincter dysfunction     0     0       Seizures     0     0       Vertigo     0     0       Visual impairment     0     0       Allergic reactions     -     -       Fever     0     0       Apnea     0     0       Dyspnea     0     0       Urticaria     0     0       Erythema     0     0       Flashing     0     0       Infection     0     0       Infection     0     0       Other adverse events     -     -       Diarrhea     0     0       Bronchitis     0     0       Pneumonia     0     0       Pneumonia     0     0	Sensory dysfunction	0	0	
Seizures00Vertigo00Visual impairment00Allergic reactions-Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events-Phlebitis00Infection00Other adverse events-Diarrhea00Other adverse events-Diarrhea00Pneumonia00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Sphincter dysfunction	0	0	
Vertigo00Visual impairment00Allergic reactions-Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events-Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events-Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Seizures	0	0	
Visual impairment00Allergic reactionsFever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Vertigo	0	0	
Allergic reactionsFever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events00Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Visual impairment	0	0	
Fever00Apnea00Dyspnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Allergic reactions			
Apnea00Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events0Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Fever	0	0	
Dyspnea00Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events0Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Apnea	0	0	
Anaphylaxis00Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events00Infection00Infection00Other adverse events00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Dyspnea	0	0	
Urticaria00Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events0Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Anaphylaxis	0	0	
Erythema00Flashing00Local adverse events0Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Urticaria	0	0	
Flashing00Local adverse eventsPhlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Arrhythmia00	Erythema	0	0	
Local adverse events00Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events00Other adverse events00Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Flashing	0	0	
Phlebitis00Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Local adverse events			
Infection00Hematoma00Other adverse events0Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Phlebitis	0	0	
Hematoma00Other adverse eventsDiarrhea0OConstipation0Bronchitis0Pneumonia0Pulmonary emboli0Respiratory failure000Arrhythmia0	Infection	0	0	
Other adverse eventsDiarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Hematoma	0	0	
Diarrhea00Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Other adverse events			
Constipation00Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Diarrhea	0	0	
Bronchitis00Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Constipation	0	0	
Pneumonia00Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Bronchitis	0	0	
Pulmonary emboli00Respiratory failure00Arrhythmia00	Pneumonia	0	0	
Respiratory failure 0 0   Arrhythmia 0 0	Pulmonary emboli	0	0	
Arrhythmia 0 0	Respiratory failure	0	0	
	Arrhythmia	0	0	

#### Follow up

In the IV group, 5 patients completed the 12 month follow up and 1 patient was lost to follow up after the cell transplantation. One patient, a 50-year old man with limb onset ALS, needed percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) placement 8 months after the cell injection and due to worsening the respiratory conditions. He underwent the tracheostomy 10 months after the injection. This patient died at the end of the study due to the respiratory infection. Other patients had decreased ALS-FRS and FVC levels during the 12 months of follow up which indicated disease progression in compare with before cell injection (Fig.3).



**Fig.3:** The trend of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-functional rating scale (ALS-FRS) and forced vital capacity during 12 month follow up in patients of both group. **A.** ALS-FRS and **B.** Forced vital capacity (FVC) in the intravenous (IV) and intrathecal (IT) groups due to worsening of patients' conditions. ALS-FRS and FVC data are mean ± SEM.

In the IT group, 7 patients completed the 12 month follow up and 1 patient was lost to follow up after the cell injection. One patient, a 39-year old man with limb onset ALS needed PEG placement and a tracheostomy due to worsening of his bulbar symptoms. We observed worsening of ALS and FVC percentages during 12 months of follow up in the other patients of this group (Fig.3). As presented in Figure 3, for ALS-FRS, repeated-measures ANOVA indicated a significant time effect (P<0.001) but no significant treatment effect (P=0.269). For FVC, the results of repeated-measures ANOVA also showed a significant time effect (P<0.001) but no significant treatment effect (P=0.731). Table S1 and Figure S1 summarizes additional ALS-FRS and FVC information for the study groups (See Supplementary Online Information at www.celljournal.org).

## Discussion

We designed the present study to confirm the safety and feasibility of IV and IT transplantations of BM-MSCs in patients with ALS and compare the effects of each route of cell injection on prevention of disease progression. At the end of follow-up period we observed no local or systemic adverse effects or immediate reactions according to the clinical and laboratory assessments. One patient from the IV group experienced hypotension during the cell suspension infusion and 2 patients from the IT group complained of headaches and nausea following the IT injection. Symptoms resolved in all of these patients after treatment. MRI scans did not show any new abnormal findings such as mass formations in the brain or spinal cord. These results confirmed the safety of either cell type or routes of administration. Numerous studies have demonstrated the safety of BM-derived MSCs, which were similar to the current study (9, 15, 34, 35), but the disputable case was the transplantation pathway. Different methods of tracing in animals have shown that MSCs migrate after an IV injection and can be attracted to the damaged areas (36). The IT pathway is a direct route to reach the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), thus the dynamic flow of the CSF helps the cells to circulate simply through the brain and spinal cord, and access impaired areas (9, 20, 37, 38). According to these mechanisms, we expected that MSCs could improve or at least slow down the rate of disease progression, but both groups had reduced ALS-FRS and FVC with disease progression during 12 months of follow up.

The exception was patient 9 that the ALS-FRS and FVC did not change after cell therapy in comparison with before. It shows that in this patient the disease progression had a same process from 6 months before cell transplantation till 12 months after. We could not clarified that this stability of disease process was related to stem cell activity or it was the same process as before cell transplantation.

These findings suggested that ALS probably negatively impacted BM-MSCs and reduced their quality. In order to support this hypothesis, studies have shown which ALS influenced BM-MSCs and reduced their capabilities. Secretion of trophic factors such as Insulin like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), TGF- $\beta$ , Fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2), placental growth factor (PIGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and stromal cell derived factor 1 (SDF-1 $\alpha$ ) decreased, which correlated with progression and poor disease prognosis (39, 40). To verify our hypothesis, we intend to design a new study that investigates the effects of allogeneic stem cells obtained from healthy donors in order to locate an effective route of cell transplantation in patients with ALS.

## Conclusion

Taken together, these results of our study demonstrated that the IV and IT injections of autologous MSCs are

safe and feasible. To show the therapeutic effect of these approaches, we should perform additional clinical trials with more patients.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Royan Institute. The authors have no commercial, proprietary or financial interests in the products or companies described in this article.

## Authors' Contributions

N.A.; Designed the study, oversaw data acquisition and analysis, and edited the manuscript. S.M.N.; Confirmed the enrolment of screened patients, performed the injections and clinical assessments during follow up. L.A.; Performed patients' screening for enrolment, followed the patients after cell transplantation, monitored the clinical evaluation and data collection. N.J., T.B., F.A., S.M., V.A.; Performed cell isolation, culture, and preparation of the cell suspension. L.S.; Anesthetized and monitored the patients during the injections. F.M.; Performed the bone marrow aspiration. S.E.H.; Monitored the patients during and after the cell transplantation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- 1. Mao Z, Zhang S, Chen H. Stem cell therapy for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Cell Regen (Lond). 2015; 4: 11.
- Carlesi C, Pasquali L, Piazza S, Lo Gerfo A, Caldarazzo lenco E, Alessi R, et al. Strategies for clinical approach to neurodegeneration in Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Arch Ital Biol. 2011; 149(1): 151-167.
- Lewis M, Rushanan S. The role of physical therapy and occupational therapy in the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. NeuroRehabilitation. 2007; 22(6): 451-461.
- Holm T, Maier A, Wicks P, Lang D, Linke P, Munch C, et al. Severe loss of appetite in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients: online self-assessment study. Interact J Med Res. 2013; 2(1): e8.
- 5. Patel BP, Hamadeh MJ. Nutritional and exercise-based interventions in the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Clin Nutr. 2009; 28(6): 604-617.
- Pandya RS, Mao LL, Zhou EW, Bowser R, Zhu Z, Zhu Y, et al. Neuroprotection for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: role of stem cells, growth factors, and gene therapy. Cent Nerv Syst Agents Med Chem. 2012; 12(1): 15-27.
- Appel SH, Engelhardt JI, Henkel JS, Siklos L, Beers DR, Yen AA, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patients with sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurology. 2008; 71(17):1326-1334.
- Cashman N, Tan LY, Krieger C, Madler B, Mackay A, Mackenzie I, et al. Pilot study of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF)mobilized peripheral blood stem cells in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Muscle Nerve. 2008; 37(5): 620-625.
- Karussis D, Karageorgiou C, Vaknin-Dembinsky A, Gowda-Kurkalli B, Gomori JM, Kassis I, et al. Safety and immunological effects of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Arch Neurol. 2010; 67(10): 1187-1194.
- Prabhakar S, Marwaha N, Lal V, Sharma RR, Rajan R, Khandelwal N. Autologous bone marrow-derived stem cells in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a pilot study. Neurol India. 2012; 60(5): 465-469.
- Baek W, Kim YS, Koh SH, Lim SW, Kim HY, Yi HJ, et al. Stem cell transplantation into the intraventricular space via an Ommaya reservoir in a patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurosurg Sci. 2012; 56(3): 261-263.
- Mazzini L, Mareschi K, Ferrero I, Vassallo E, Oliveri G, Boccaletti R, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stem cells: clinical applications in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurol Res. 2006; 28(5): 523-526.

- Mazzini L, Mareschi K, Ferrero I, Vassallo E, Oliveri G, Nasuelli N, et al. Stem cell treatment in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. J Neurol Sci. 2008; 265(1-2): 78-83.
- Mazzini L, Mareschi K, Ferrero I, Miglioretti M, Stecco A, Servo S, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a long-term safety study. Cytotherapy. 2012; 14(1): 56-60.
- Mazzini L, Ferrero I, Luparello V, Rustichelli D, Gunetti M, Mareschi K, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A Phase I clinical trial. Exp Neurol. 2010; 223(1): 229-237.
- Chen L, Chen D, Xi H, Wang Q, Liu Y, Zhang F, et al. Olfactory ensheathing cell neurorestorotherapy for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients: benefits from multiple transplantations. Cell Transplant. 2012; 21 Suppl 1: S65-S77.
- Glass JD, Boulis NM, Johe K, Rutkove SB, Federici T, Polak M, et al. Lumbar intraspinal injection of neural stem cells in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: results of a phase I trial in 12 patients. Stem Cells. 2012; 30(6): 1144-1151.
- Riley J, Glass J, Feldman EL, Polak M, Bordeau J, Federici T, et al. Intraspinal stem cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a phase I trial, cervical microinjection, and final surgical safety outcomes. Neurosurgery. 2014; 74(1): 77-87.
- Feldman EL, Boulis NM, Hur J, Johe K, Rutkove SB, Federici T, et al. Intraspinal neural stem cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: phase 1 trial outcomes. Ann Neurol. 2014; 75(3): 363-373.
- Oh KW, Moon C, Kim HY, Oh SI, Park J, Lee JH, et al. Phase I trial of repeated intrathecal autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2015; 4(6): 590-597.
- Ren G, Chen X, Dong F, Li W, Ren X, Zhang Y, et al. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells and translational medicine: emerging issues. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2012; 1(1): 51-58.
- Voulgari-Kokota A, Fairless R, Karamita M, Kyrargyri V, Tseveleki V, Evangelidou M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells protect CNS neurons against glutamate excitotoxicity by inhibiting glutamate receptor expression and function. Exp Neurol. 2012; 236(1): 161-170.
- Mauri M, Lentini D, Gravati M, Foudah D, Biella G, Costa B, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells enhance GABAergic transmission in co-cultured hippocampal neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2012; 49(4): 395-405.
- Boomsma RA, Geenen DL. Mesenchymal stem cells secrete multiple cytokines that promote angiogenesis and have contrasting effects on chemotaxis and apoptosis. PLoS One. 2012; 7(4): e35685.
- Li Y, Chopp M. Marrow stromal cell transplantation in stroke and traumatic brain injury. Neurosci Lett. 2009; 456(3): 120-123.
- Vercelli A, Mereuta OM, Garbossa D, Muraca G, Mareschi K, Rustichelli D, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cell transplantation extends survival, improves motor performance and decreases neuroinflammation in mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurobiol Dis. 2008; 31(3): 395-405.
- Riley J, Federici T, Polak M, Kelly C, Glass J, Raore B, et al. Intraspinal stem cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a phase I safety trial, technical note, and lumbar safety outcomes. Neurosurgery. 2012; 71(2): 405-416; discussion 416.

- Blanquer M, Perez-Espejo MA, Martinez-Lage JF, Iniesta F, Martinez S, Moraleda JM. A surgical technique of spinal cord cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurosci Methods. 2010; 191(2): 255-257.
- Chen L, Huang H, Zhang J, Zhang F, Liu Y, Xi H, et al. Short-term outcome of olfactory ensheathing cells transplantation for treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2007; 21(9): 961-966.
- Moviglia GA, Moviglia-Brandolino MT, Varela GS, Albanese G, Piccone S, Echegaray G, et al. Feasibility, safety, and preliminary proof of principles of autologous neural stem cell treatment combined with T-cell vaccination for ALS patients. Cell Transplant. 2012; 21 Suppl 1: S57-S63.
- Brooks BR. El Escorial World Federation of Neurology criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Subcommittee on Motor Neuron Diseases/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Neuromuscular Diseases and the El Escorial "Clinical limits of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis" workshop contributors. J Neurol Sci. 1994; 124 Suppl: 96-107.
- The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale. Assessment of activities of daily living in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. The ALS CNTF treatment study (ACTS) phase I-II Study Group. Arch Neurol. 1996; 53(2): 141-147.
- Cedarbaum JM, Stambler N. Performance of the amyotrophic lateral sclerosis functional rating scale (ALSFRS) in multicenter clinical trials. J Neurol Sci. 1997; 152 Suppl 1: S1-S9.
- Yamout B, Hourani R, Salti H, Barada W, El-Hajj T, Al-Kutoubi A, et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. J Neuroimmunol. 2010; 227(1-2): 185-189.
- Odinak MM, Bisaga GN, Novitskii AV, Tyrenko VV, Fominykh MS, Bilibina AA, et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells in multiple sclerosis. Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova. 2011; 111(2 Pt 2): 72-76.
- Yukawa H, Watanabe M, Kaji N, Okamoto Y, Tokeshi M, Miyamoto Y, et al. Monitoring transplanted adipose tissue-derived stem cells combined with heparin in the liver by fluorescence imaging using quantum dots. Biomaterials. 2012; 33(7): 2177-2186.
- Sharma A, Sane H, Gokulchandran N, Khopkar D, Paranjape A, Sundaram J, et al. Autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells intrathecal transplantation in chronic stroke. Stroke Res Treat. 2014; 2014: 234095.
- Zali A, Arab L, Ashrafi F, Mardpour S, Niknejhadi M, Hedayati-Asl AA, et al. Intrathecal injection of CD133-positive enriched bone marrow progenitor cells in children with cerebral palsy: feasibility and safety. Cytotherapy. 2015; 17(2): 232-241.
- Cho GW, Noh MY, Kim HY, Koh SH, Kim KS, Kim SH. Bone marrow-derived stromal cells from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients have diminished stem cell capacity. Stem Cells Dev. 2010; 19(7): 1035-1042.
- Koh SH, Baik W, Noh MY, Cho GW, Kim HY, Kim KS, et al. The functional deficiency of bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells in ALS patients is proportional to disease progression rate. Exp Neurol. 2012; 233(1): 472-480.